United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,178	12/23/2003	Li-Ming Cheng	21406.001	7280
60951 759 WPAT, PC	90 01/10/200	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ATTORNEYS 2030 MAIN STREET, SUITE 1300 IRVINE, CA 92614			JOHNSON, BLAIR M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11(11(2), 0.1720			3634	
aug persuan ar a record	DENIOD OF DEGROVES	MAII DATE	T DELIVER	V MODE
SHORTENED STATUTORY I	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONT	ΓHS	01/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commons	10/743,178	CHENG ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Blair M. Johnson	3634		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become AEANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 December</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expensive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 December</u> 2b. This action is FINAL.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 50-69 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 50-55,58-65 and 67-69 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 56,57 and 66 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the description of	vn from consideration. relection requirement. repted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te		

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 59-61 and 67-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

In claims 67-69, the use of three rotors has not been adequately disclosed. In claims 59,60,68 and 69, Roman shades, and wooden shutters have not been adequately disclosed. These features introduce new matter as well.

In claim 61, the recited relationship between a second secondary line and the first secondary line, etc., is not understood.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 50-55,58 and 61-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gertzon in view of Kuhar '100.

Gertzon discloses an upper longitudinally extending, straight channel 10 and a lower member, either the lowest slat or a well known bottom rail, suspended by primary lines 33 and secondary line 17, and four pulleys 34,35, over which the cords traverse. The location of the connection between lines 33 and line 17, as well as the movement of the connection over pulleys, is clearly an obvious design modification based on headrail size, blind length, number of pulleys, etc. What is not shown is the dual rotors and spring retraction system in a housing in the channel. However, such is well known in the art, as illustrated by Kuhar. It would have been obvious to modify Gertzon by replacing

the hanging portion of the manual, exposed, pull cord end 17 with the retraction means taught by Kuhar so as to create a balanced system as well as to remove the safety hazard inherent in the hanging cord 17. The location of the dual rotor member would be at the cord lock 13,14,16, etc. Regarding claim 65, the "secondary rotor member" reads on one of the pulleys in the headrail.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 56,57 and 66 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant states that no new matter is present and then says that the recited embodiment that uses two springs is not disclosed. The fact that the specification does not preclude certain features and structure is not grounds for introducing new matter.

The "desirability" of combining Kuhar with Gertzon is clearly stated, i.e. to create a balanced system as well as to remove the safety hazard inherent in a hanging cord. Motivation is abundantly clear. Both references are in the same field of endeavor, i.e. blinds. Utilizing the well known and disclosed advantages of Kuhar in place of the conventional hanging cord operator of Gertzon is clearly within the level of obvious of one having ordinary skill in the art of blinds. Gertzon is modified whereby the pull cord and cord lock are replaced by the balanced spring motor of Kuhar. The remaining structure of Gertzon remains intact, including the pulley system.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,178

Art Unit: 3634

Page 4

Regarding the secondary considerations, there has not been a nexus provided linking the alleged patentable features to the commercial success. Merely stating that the invention is sold by major departments stores is not persuasive. Such stores are full of unpatented and unpatentable items. Additionally, no objective data has been presented to support Applicant's assertions. Applicant further claims long felt need for a "cordless blind". A look at the prior art proves that cordless blinds have been available for years. Other claims including "failure by others" and "copying by others" is accompanied by subjective statements which are not persuasive.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Biair M. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on (571) 272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,178

Art Unit: 3634

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Blair M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

BMJ 1/5/07